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**Please see the A**[**uthor Guidelines,**](https://jsmcah.org/index.php/jasv/instructions) **which provide more information about the content and the S**[**tyle Guide**](https://jsmcah.org/index.php/jasv/styleguide)**, which provides more information about the formatting (abbreviations, etc). JSMCAH follows the AMA manual style, which has been used to inform the sections below.**

**Title**

Titles should be concise, specific, and informative and should contain the key points of the work. The word review should generally follow the title, such as “An Important Veterinary Topic: A Review” or “An Important Veterinary Topic: Review of the Literature.”

**Abstract**

Many readers will only read the abstract, so it should be a precise summary. Although the abstract appears first in the manuscript, it is often helpful to write it last, particularly as information in the abstract can become out of sync with the main body of the paper as it is revised. The word limit is 250 words, and it is unstructured.

Keywords: keyword, keyword

Provide 5-10 keywords. Avoid using the same words as in the title. Medical keywords should be drawn from the Medical Subject Headings (MeSH), as appropriate. You can automatically identify MeSH keywords by pasting your abstract at [MeSH on Demand](https://meshb.nlm.nih.gov/MeSHonDemand).

# **Introduction**

This should contain an introductory paragraph that explains what your working topic is and why it is important. How will this review fill a gap or otherwise be relevant? It provides a forecast of central topics that will appear in the body. Information on how you found sources may also be found here. Grey literature, used when peer-reviewed literature is not available, should be cited as a footnote rather than a reference in the References section. See the [style guide](https://jsmcah.org/index.php/jasv/styleguide) for more information on citing formal and informal references.

# **Body**

# Generally the body is organized into subsections appropriate to the topic. Your review should summarize the findings and synthesize them into a coherent whole. In addition to the findings of the literature, you should provide additional analysis and interpretation, with a particular focus on putting literature into the context of the bigger issue described in the Introduction paragraph. In other words, synthesize rather than simply summarize. Include an assessment of the strengths and weaknesses of the literature sources.

# **Conclusion**

Provide implications for clinical practice and specific directions for future research (avoiding generic phrases such as “more research is needed).” Connect back to your Introduction paragraph.

# **References**

JSMCAH uses the American Medical Association (AMA) Reference Style for citations and references. We strongly suggest using reference management software such as [Mendeley](https://www.mendeley.com/?interaction_required=true), [Zotero](https://www.zotero.org/), EndNote, etc, to format references in AMA style. Grey literature should be referenced using a footnote rather than included as a reference. See the S[tyle Guide](https://jsmcah.org/index.php/jasv/styleguide) for more details.

# **Author contributions**

The JSMCAH requires authors to use the [CRediT Contributor Roles Taxonomy](https://credit.niso.org/) to categorize author and non-author contributions. Author identity should be masked during the review process.
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**Author notes**

# The author notes section should be included when material contained in this manuscript has been covered in a public forum, such as a poster, abstract, [preprint](https://jsmcah.org/index.php/jasv/editorial_policies#preprints), or thesis.

**Figures and tables**

Try to ensure that the most important results are encapsulated in figures and/or tables, because, after the abstract, readers are most likely to view the figures and tables. In addition to uploading the tables and figures to JSMCAH, for ease of review they should be included at the end of the manuscript along with their legends. Legends should be self-contained and not require reference to the text. Small amounts of data with a few simple comparisons should usually be presented in words, whereas large amounts of data with several comparisons should usually be presented in tables, graphs, or illustrations. For quantitative information, a table should be used when the display of exact values is important, whereas a figure should be used to show patterns or trends.

**Supplementary material**

In addition to uploading supplementary material to JSMCAH, it should be included at the end of the manuscript for ease of review.