

# SHORT REPORT

# Assessing the Veterinary Health Care Needs of Knoxville's Latino Community Through a Community-Based Participatory Approach: A Short Report

Jennifer Weisent<sup>1</sup>\*, Rebekah DeBolt<sup>1</sup>, Linda M. Daugherty<sup>2</sup>, Alexis Niceley<sup>1</sup> and Jaime Lyn Norris

<sup>1</sup>College of Veterinary Medicine, The University of Tennessee Knoxville, TN, USA; <sup>2</sup>Program for Pet Health Equity, The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA

## Abstract

The primary objective of the study was to better understand the interests, needs, and perceived barriers to veterinary care of Knoxville's Latino community. Investigators adapted a national survey designed to understand access to veterinary care for distribution to the Latino population through a community-based participatory approach with an established community center. Seventy-six percent of survey respondents (n = 46) reported that they consider the pet as part of the family. Forty-eight percent said their pets were not spayed or neutered (s/n), and of these, 39% said s/n was cost-prohibitive, whereas 22% did not know where to receive services. Twenty-six percent identified an inability to obtain treatment for a sick pet, primarily due to a lack of access to affordable care. Participants expressed interest in vaccines and parasite prevention (64%), training and behavior (36%), and information on veterinary and pet care resources (29%). The survey tool (provided in English and Spanish) and community engagement approach can assist animal welfare organizations in identifying and addressing community veterinary needs and barriers to care.

Keywords: shelter medicine; access to veterinary care; veterinary outreach; underserved pet owners

Received: 6 January 2024; Revised: 16 June 2024; Accepted: 20 June 2024 Published: 20 August 2024

## Correspondence

\*Jennifer Weisent Shelter Medicine UT College of Veterinary Medicine Knoxville, USA Email: jweisent@utk.edu

#### **Reviewers:**

Meagan Wentworth Ron Orchard

ccess to care has become a topic of great concern in veterinary medicine. In 2016, the Access to Veterinary Care Coalition (AVCC) conducted a national study to identify barriers and best practices to assist underserved households with veterinary care.<sup>1</sup> The study showed that socioeconomic, educational, racial, geographic, and cultural challenges hinder access to resources for households with pets, the most common being cost.<sup>1,2</sup> Other barriers include a lack of transportation, a lack of knowledge about what care is needed and where to find it, shortage of veterinarians or veterinary care deserts, language barriers, and fear of judgement for not seeking care sooner.1,3-5 Notably, the AVCC nationwide survey did not account for non-English speaking pet owners; surveys were distributed in English only.

According to 2020 US Census data, the Latino or Hispanic population makes up 5.2% of the population in Knox County, TN with 5.7% in Knoxville city, and a total of 18.9% of the U.S. population overall.6 A recent descriptive study examining U.S. pet ownership and veterinary expenditures found that pet ownership had increased in the Hispanic population, but this group was less likely than White pet owners to use veterinary services.7 Furthermore, Hispanic households report less ease of access to veterinary care as compared to non-Hispanic White households, even after accounting for education and financial fragility.8 Barriers to veterinary care identified in the Latino community include cost, hours of operation, and transportation.<sup>2</sup> In one survey, while 90% of Latino respondents believed that neutering was a good idea, only 11% of dogs and 27% of cats had been sterilized in the community.9 Investigators have also found that when barriers to care are removed, race and ethnicity are not primary determinants for obtaining veterinary care or pet sterilization.<sup>10</sup> The goal of this survey was to determine the veterinary needs and barriers to veterinary care of a local Hispanic population via a community-based participatory approach that incorporated a survey adapted from the AVCC survey.

## **Methods**

Investigators adapted a survey initially created by the AVCC to identify barriers to veterinary care in underserved populations.<sup>1</sup> The survey was translated into

Spanish, approved by community leaders, and a paper version offered to attendees at events and classes held by the community partner, Centro Hispano of East Tennessee (CH). Organizational leaders determined ideal times for distribution to enable investigators to survey a diverse group of pet owners. Staff and leadership explained the survey and translated questions to visitors. Completed surveys were collected without personal identifiers, and participants received one free pet tag, engraved with the pet's name and phone number.

This study received IRB approval (University of Tennessee Knoxville (UTK) IRB-19-05365-XM) and funding through an internal UTK Community Engagement Incentive grant.

## Results

Data collection yielded 34 surveys from five site visits in 2020 (1/9, 1/13, 1/22, 2/11, 2/19) and 12 additional surveys post-pandemic (7/17/21).

Households averaged two pets each with 13.6% cat only, 65.9% dog only, and 20.5% blended (Table 1a). Similar to national AVCC findings, 28% of survey participants obtained their pets through an animal shelter or rescue organization. For CH households, 28% of pets were gifted by friends or family, and 26% came from breeders or pet stores (Table 1b). Participants strongly agreed (70.5%) that they considered the pet a member of the family (Table 1c).

Most participants (66.7%) keep pets indoors when not home, whereas 15.6% said pets stayed in a fenced yard and 2.2% were kept tied outdoors (Table 1d). Almost 48% reported that pets were not spayed or neutered (Table 1e), and of these, 39% said s/n was too expensive, whereas 22% did not know where to receive s/n services.

Respondents reported that they utilize local veterinary services for annual exams (56%), vaccinations (82%), and parasite prevention (58%). Twenty-six percent identified a time over the past 2 years when they were unable to get needed treatment for a sick pet, with the primary reason being a lack of affordable care (Table 2a). Other reasons included not knowing where to find care (22%), language barrier (11%), and 22% were concerned that the care provider would think badly of them for not seeking care earlier (Table 2b). Regarding illness, 52% of national survey participants sought care for an ill pet at a veterinary clinic compared to 86.1% of CH participants (Table 2c). During a medical emergency, 12.5% of respondents from CH and 65% from AVCC did not seek health care for their pet (Table 2d).

Table 2e shows participant interest in services and resources on vaccines and parasite prevention (64.4%), training and behavior (35.6%), information on clinics and pet care resources (28.9%), and laws regarding pets (24.4%). They also indicated interest in attending events

Tables 1 & 2. Comparison of Centro Hispano and AVCC access to veterinary care survey results

#### Table 1a. Household makeup

	Centro Hispano	AVCC Overall	AVCC South*
Average # of pets	2.0	2.2	Not reported
Cat only	13.6%	24.0%	19.0%
Dog only	65.9%	46.5%	50.0%
Blended household	20.5%	29.6%	31.0%

\* AVCC South is composed of U.S. States (DE, DC, FL, GA, MD, NC, SC, VA, WV, AL, KY, MS, TN, AK, LA, OK, TX).

#### Table 1b. Where did you obtain your pet?

	Centro Hispano*	AVCC Cats	AVCC Dogs
Animal shelter or rescue organization	28.3% (13)	27%	27%
Bought at a pet store, breeder, or another source	26.1% (12)	8%	29%
Received as a gift	28.3% (13)	11%	13%
Found as a stray	13.0% (6)	30%	8%

\* Several questions had a "choose all that apply" option, answers do not sum to 100%.

#### Table 1c. Attitude about pet

	Centro Hispano	AVCC
My pet is/are considered a	Strongly Agree 70.5% (31)	Strongly Agree 80.2%
member of my family.	Somewhat Agree 6.8% (3)	Somewhat Agree 8.7%

Table 1d. What do you do with your pet when you leave your home?

	Centro Hispano*	
Pet stays indoors	66.7% (30)	
Pet is tied up outside	2.2% (1)	
Pet stays in fenced yard	15.6% (7)	
Pet stays with friends/relatives	11.1% (5)	

\* Several questions had a "choose all that apply" option, answers do not sum to 100%.

at CH that included physical exams (71.1%), vaccines (73.3%) and training tips (51.1%).

### Discussion

The survey identified a pressing need for education and resources, including sterilization and preventive health care for pets of Latino people in the Knoxville area. Twenty-two percent of respondents with unsterilized pets indicated they did not know where to receive services. A larger percentage

## Table 1e. Spay/neuter status

	Centro Hispano	Cats: AVCC Overall	Cats: AVCC South*	Dogs: AVCC Overall	Dogs: AVCC South*
Pet was already spayed/neutered when I got the pet	18.2% (8)	27%	20%	25%	21%
I had the pet spayed or neutered at a free or reduced cost clinic	15.9% (7)	16%	15%	10%	11%
I had the pet spayed or neutered at a veterinarian's office or clinic	18.2% (8)	36%	39%	36%	35%
Pets are not spayed or neutered	45.7% (21)	18%	24%	26%	32%

\* AVCC South is composed of U.S. States (DE, DC, FL, GA, MD, NC, SC, VA, WV, AL, KY, MS, TN, AK, LA, OK, TX).

*Table 2a.* In the past 2 years, has there been a time that you wanted or needed treatment for an illness for your pet(s), but was not able to get it?

Barrier to Sick Care	Centro	AVCC	AVCC
	Hispano	Overall	South*
	26.3% (10)	14%	13%

\* AVCC South is composed of U.S. States (DE, DC, FL, GA, MD, NC, SC, VA, WV, AL, KY, MS, TN, AK, LA, OK, TX).

Table 2b. What are the reasons you didn't receive veterinary care?

	Centro Hispano
I could not afford it	100% (9)
I did not know where to get the care	22.2% (2)
I could not find a veterinarian or care provider who speaks my language	11.0% (1)
${\sf I}$ was concerned a veterinarian or care provider would think badly of me for not seeking care earlier.	22.2% (2)

*Table 2c.* Thinking about the most recent time your pet(s) needed treatment for an illness, where did you receive the care?

	Centro Hispano	AVCC
I have not sought care	8.3% (3)	42%
Veterinary office or clinic	86.1% (31)	52%
Animal shelter or other community program	2.8% (1)	2%
Other	2.8% (2)	2%

*Table 2d.* Thinking about the most recent time your pet(s) needed emergency care, where did you receive the care?

	Centro Hispano	AVCC
l have not sought care	12.5% (4)	65%
Veterinary office or clinic	78.1% (25)	29%
Animal shelter or other community program	3.1% (1)	1%
Other	6.3% (2)	3%

of participants (86%) reported accessing sick care through a veterinary clinic as compared to 14% nationally, which may reflect fewer low-cost options for veterinary care or a lack of knowledge regarding resources. *Table 2e.* What kind of services or educational information would you be interested in for your pet?

	Centro Hispano
Vaccines/flea tick prevention	64.4% (29)
Laws regarding pets	24.4% (11)
Advice on training or behavior	35.6% (16)
Information about pet care resources and clinics in your area	28.9% (13)
None of the above	13.3% (6)
Other	4.4% (2)

A study in 2010 found that the proportion of Hispanic owners with sexually intact pets was significantly (P <0.001) higher than other racial/ethnic groups, with 37.5% owning sexually intact males and 16.2% with intact female pets.<sup>11</sup> The AVCC survey found that 18% of cats and 26% of dogs were unsterilized, with higher rates reported in the South (cats 24%, dogs 32%). The percentage of unsterilized pets, that is 48%, was higher than either of these studies, but lower than the 89% and 73% of dogs and cats, respectively, found to be unsterilized in a Texas border community.9 In our study, 39% of respondents with intact pets said s/n was too expensive, which was greater than the 25% of Latino college students reporting cost as a primary barrier.<sup>12</sup> In this group, 41% of respondents with unsterilized pets reported a desire for puppies or kittens, which may represent a reason for not seeking sterilization services that are not well-captured in other studies.

Unexpectedly, the investigators identified only one veterinary clinic in Knoxville that advertised translation services for Spanish-speaking pet owners. Further, the location of the CH community center was in direct view of Knoxville's municipal animal shelter, yet CH pet owners had limited knowledge of the shelter or available s/n or vaccine-related services.

#### Conclusion

The national AVCC survey, translated into Spanish and adapted for a community-based engagement project, can assist animal welfare organizations in identifying and addressing veterinary needs and barriers to care of Latino pet owners.

## **Authors' contributions**

J. Weisent: conceptualization and design, implementation, data analysis, writing and revision.

B. DeBolt: implementation, writing and revision.

L. Daugherty: survey adaptation, data analysis, writing and revision.

A. Niceley: implementation, data collection, writing and revision.

J. Norris: project coordination, implementation, data collection, manuscript review.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge the leadership, staff, and participants of Centro Hispano de East Tennessee for their willingness to engage and collaborate, and for approving this manuscript for publication. Many thanks to Young Williams Animal Center for participating in the community vaccine clinic and to the University of Tennessee Office of Outreach and Engagement for funding this project.

## **Conflicts of interest and funding**

The authors of this study declare no conflict of interest. Funding was attained (\$2,000 USD) through the University of Tennessee Community Engagement Incentive grant. Awards are based on project reciprocity, shared decision-making, impacts and benefits, scholarship, and sustainability.

## **Author notes**

The project described in this manuscript has been published in abstract form by JSMCAH for presentation at ABVP proceedings 2023.

## References

- 1. Wiltzius AJ, Blackwell MJ, Krebsbach SB, et al. *Access to Veterinary Care: Barriers, Current Practices, and Public Policy.* Access to Veterinary Care Coalition; 2018.
- Park RM, Gruen ME, Royal K. Association between dog owner demographics and decision to seek veterinary care. *Vet Sci.* 2021;8(1):11–18. doi: 10.3390/vetsci8010007
- Stull JW, Shelby JA, Bonnett BN, et al. Barriers and next steps to providing a spectrum of effective health care to companion animals. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2018;253(11):1386–1389. doi: 10.2460/javma.253.11.1386
- LaVallee E, Mueller MK, McCobb E. A systematic review of the literature addressing veterinary care for underserved communities. J Appl Anim Welf Sci. 2017;20(4):381–394. doi: 10.1080/10888705.2017.1337515
- Neal SM, Greenberg MJ. Putting access to veterinary care on the map: a veterinary care accessibility index. *Front Vet Sci.* 2022;9:857644. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2022.857644
- 6. Hispanic/Latino Health. https://minorityhealth.hhs.gov/hispaniclatino-health. Accessed June 20, 2024.
- Zhang X, House L, Salois MJ. An examination of US pet owners' use of veterinary services, 2006–2018. *Vet Med Sci.* 2024;10(3):e1370. doi: 10.1002/vms3.1370
- King E, Mueller MK, Dowling-Guyer S, McCobb E. Financial fragility and demographic factors predict pet owners' perceptions of access to veterinary care in the United States. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2022;260(14):1–8. doi: 10.2460/javma.21.11.0486
- Poss JE, Bader JO. Attitudes toward companion animals among Hispanic residents of a Texas border community. J Appl Anim Welf Sci. 2007;10(3):243–253. doi: 10.1080/10888700701353717
- Decker Sparks JL, Camacho B, Tedeschi P, Morris KN. Race and ethnicity are not primary determinants in utilizing veterinary services in underserved communities in the United States. J Appl Anim Welf Sci. 2018;21(2):120–129. doi: 10.1080/10888705.2017.1378578
- Schoenfeld-Tacher R, Kogan LR, Wright ML. Comparison of strength of the human-animal bond between Hispanic and non-Hispanic owners of pet dogs and cats. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2010;236(5):529–534. doi: 10.2460/javma.236.5.529
- Faver CA. Sterilization of companion animals: exploring the attitudes and behaviors of Latino students in south Texas. J Appl Anim Welf Sci. 2009;12(4):314–330. doi: 10.1080/10888700903163534